UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,066	04/07/2006	Stewart Lister Hay	VOI0451.US	9855
41863 TAYLOR & A	7590 07/11/2007 UST P.C.		EXAMINER MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H	
142 SOUTH M	IAIN STREET			
P. O. BOX 560 AVILLA, IN 4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
	*			
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Sp
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/560,066	HAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert H. Muromoto, Jr.	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solved will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 A	April 2006.		
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	er		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> is/s		cted to by the Exar	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	y (PTO-413) Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/7/2005.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/560,066

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herring US patent 5,067,526

Herring clearly discloses a papermaking fabric using weft pairs as binder yarns between the upper and lower layers, figure 5 clearly shows the 'at least four segments' configuration in claim 1.

The segments have different lengths as in claim 2.

The segments have the configuration recited in claims 3-6.

Figure 8 clearly shows machine side yarns 33, 36 'vertically' underlying a 'segment' as claimed.

Figure 8 clearly shows warp yarns 40-42 with no interlacing as recited in claim 8.

Figure 8 clearly shows configuration in claim 9.

Figure 3a shows a single wear side warp yarn binding at yarn 38 and 14 as claimed.

Various areas in figure 3a show the configuration in claim 11.

Claim 12 is redundant to limitations in claim 1.

Figure 8 shows the yarn pair and that one clearly weaves less with machine side than the other as claimed.

Limitations in claim16 are inherent to any fabric structure having 'segments' as defined in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '526.

Although '526 teaches essentially all of the limitations of the instant invention, '526 does not explicitly teach a different number of segments per weft yarn, warp yarns in the repeat, or the ratio of paper side warp to machine side warp.

However, these limitations are simply obvious variants to weave design in the production of any fabric.

Absent any criticality nor unexpected results arising from using these weave design variables it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of woven fabric production to modify the number of segments per weft yarn, number of warps in the weave repeat, and/or the ratio of paper side warp to machine side warp through

routine experimentation to optimize the resultant papermaking fabric for the desired end use application of the fabric.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4991. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on 571-272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bobby Muromoto/Bobby Muromoto/Patent examiner (partial signatory authority)
Art unit 3765
June 29, 2007